

Natural Resources Conservation Service

**Application Ranking Summary
Upper South Platte - Soil Erosion**

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: Upper South Platte - Soil Erosion		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:	Telephone:	
Farm Location:		

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable reductions of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides, excess salinity in impaired watersheds consistent with TMDL's where available as well as the reduction of groundwater contamination or point source such as contamination from confined animal feeding operations?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in the conservation of a considerable amount of ground or surface water resources?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural land?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the project reduce the amount of nutrients/pesticides/salt/selenium or other pollutants entering ground or surface waters?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Will the planned practice(s) promote water conservation on the contracted acres?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Will the project address invasive or noxious plants on contracted acres?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Will the project result in an improvement to the existing management system to meet the state AFO/CAFO regulations?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Does the project increase the diversity of desirable plants on grazing lands?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. Does the project improve the health of riparian and/or wetland areas?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. Is the proposed project located within the State's NRCS wildlife priority area, and do the planned practices address the habitat needs of the targeted species designated in the wildlife priority area?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. Will the proposed project reduce field soil loss to below "T" or will the planned practice(s) reduce irrigation induced/streambank erosion?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
9. Does the applicant use a progressive conservation plan that was developed within the last five years and includes the same resource concern and practices that are currently applying for?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
10. Does the applicant meet one or more of the following conditions: a. Did the applicant successfully complete any past EQIP contract(s) in full compliance; b. If the applicant has an existing EQIP contract has it been, and is it now, on schedule and in full compliance; or c. Applicant has never participated in EQIP?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Permanent Vegetative Cover: Will >60% of the cropland acreage under this project be converted to Native perennial species under Range Seeding (550)? (yes on only one of questions 1-4)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Permanent Vegetative Cover: Will 30-60% of the cropland acreage under this project be converted to Native perennial species under Range Seeding (550)? (yes on only one of questions 1-4)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

3. Permanent Vegetative Cover: Will 15-30% of the cropland acreage under this project be converted to Native perennial species under Range Seeding (550)? (yes on only one of questions 1-4)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Permanent Vegetative Cover: Will 1-15% of the cropland acreage under this project be converted to Native perennial species under Range Seeding (550)? (yes on only one of questions 1-4)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Permanent Vegetative Cover: Will >60% of the cropland acreage under this project be converted to Introduced perennial species under Pasture and Hayland Planting (512)? (yes on only one of questions 5-8)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. Permanent Vegetative Cover: Will 30-60% of the cropland acreage under this project be converted to Introduced perennial species under Pasture and Hayland Planting (512)? (yes on only one of questions 5-8)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. Permanent Vegetative Cover: Will 15-30% of the cropland acreage under this project be converted to Introduced perennial species under Pasture and Hayland Planting (512)? (yes on only one of questions 5-8)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. Permanent Vegetative Cover: Will 1-15% of the cropland acreage under this project be converted to Introduced perennial species under Pasture and Hayland Planting (512)? (yes on only one of questions 5-8)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
9. Soil Quality: Will tillage be reduced, and the producer implement a No-till/Strip-till/Direct Seed (329) or Ridge-till (346) system on 100% of the annually cropped acres under this project?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
10. Gully Erosion: Is >50% of the cropland affected by classic or ephemeral gully erosion? (yes on only one of questions 10-12)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
11. Gully Erosion: Is 25-50% of the cropland affected by classic or ephemeral gully erosion? (yes on only one of questions 10-12)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
12. Gully Erosion: Is 1-24% of the cropland affected by classic or ephemeral gully erosion? (yes on only one of questions 10-12)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
13. Irrigation-induced Erosion: Will a new ditch lining or pipeline be installed to address irrigation-induced erosion on sandy clay, clay, silty clay, or silty clay loam soil type (based on predominant soil type)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
14. Irrigation-induced Erosion: Will a new ditch lining or pipeline be installed to address irrigation-induced erosion on sandy clay loam, clay loam, silt, loam, or silty loam soil type (based on predominant soil type)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
15. Irrigation-induced Erosion: Will a new ditch lining or pipeline will be installed to address irrigation-induced erosion on sandy, loamy sand, or sandy loam soil type (based on predominant soil type)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
16. Buffers: Will a Windbreak (380), Field Border (386), Filter Strip (393), or Grassed Waterway (412) be installed to protect cropland from erosion?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
17. Pest Management: Will Pest Management (595) be carried out to meet the practice standard? (must be new acres)	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
18. Soil Erodibility: The Hydrologic Grouping of the soil on this project (based on predominant soil type) is Moderately High (C) to High (D).	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
19. Soil Erodibility: The Hydrologic Grouping of the soil on this project (based on predominant soil type) is Low (A) to Moderately Low (B).	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
20. Soil Erodibility: The Representative Slope (RV) of the soil on this project (based on predominant soil type) is >4%.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
21. Soil Erodibility: The Representative Slope (RV) of the soil on this project (based on predominant soil type) is 0-4%.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
22. Soil Erodibility: The Erosion Factors I divided by T (I/T) for the soil on this project (based on predominant soil type) is >18.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
23. Soil Erodibility: The Erosion Factors I divided by T (I/T) for the soil on this project (based on predominant soil type) is 18 or less.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
--------------------------	------------------

Ranking Score

Efficiency:
Local Issues:
State Issues:

National Issues:

Final Ranking Score:

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

NRCS Designated Conservationist:

Applicant Signature Required for Contract Development:

Signature Date:

Signature Date: