

Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP): Lower Arkansas Watershed-Soil Management
and Resource Analysis Worksheet (C3)

Part I. Producer/Land Unit Information										
Producer/Group Name:				Tract Number(s):						
				Farm Number(s):						
Kind of Entity or Joint Operation:	Limited Liability Limited Partnership			Application's Total Acreage (TA):			912.7			
Location:	Holly, CO Northeast Prowers SCD			Contract Financial Assistance Request:	\$16,000.00					
Planner:	J. Segars	Date:	06-Apr-09				Total Points:	231.92		
Priority:	HIGH PRIORITY			Minimum Number of Points Required: > 33			QUALIFIED			
Part II. Screening Tool										
1.	Will there be (a) change made to the existing tillage system resulting in increased residue amounts by reducing the number and/or kind of tillage operations, OR (b) at least one soil profile modification or enduring structural practice installed such as terraces or stripcropping which results in whole field erosion reduction, OR (c) change in either the number or kind of crops grown in the crop rotation, OR (d) will there be land in which at least 65% of the offered acres are converted to permanent vegetation; AND, (e) will a no-till or strip-till level of treatment be implemented on the offered acres where any or all acres are previous CRP? (If any of a, b, c, or d is "yes", AND e is "yes" or not applicable, answer YES to question)								YES	
2.	Has the applicant within the past 3 years (a) had a previous cost-share contract that was cancelled or terminated due to lack of progress OR, (b) where the installed practices failed due to lack of maintenance, OR (c) has the applicant previously declined an approved funded application for reasons other than technical, or drought related reasons? (If any of a, b, or c is "yes", answer YES to question)								NO	
If both #1 and #2 are "No", or #1 is "No" and #2 is "Yes" the application is considered LOW priority. Proceed to Question #3.										
3.	Does the applicant (a) have a current conservation plan in place for the requested practices that complies with current NRCS conservation planning policy with completion and documentation of the 7 deliverables in the Colorado Conservation Planning STATEMENT of WORK; (b) is there sufficient indication that applicant is ready, willing, and able to complete a contract; and (c) has the conservation plan been reviewed and site visit made to confirm that the proposed practices are still needed and feasible to address the stated resource concerns ? (If any of a, b, or c is "no" answer NO to the question)								YES	
If #3 is "yes" the application is considered HIGH priority; if "no" application is considered LOW priority.										