

Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP): Lower Arkansas River Watershed-Water
Quality/Water Quantity Screening and Resource Analysis Worksheet (C1)

Part I. Producer/Land Unit Information										
Producer/ Group Name:					Tract Number(s):					
					Farm Number(s):					
Kind of Entity or Joint Operation:	Joint Venture				Lateral Group Joint Agreement (LL): <input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No					
					No. of LL Entities:	1	Proportion of Shares (%):		100.0%	
Location:	Lamar, CO Field Office				Other Joint Agreement (OJ): <input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No		No. of OJ Entities =		0	
Application's Total Offered Acreage (TA):	55.0				Contract Financial Assistance Request:	\$0.00	Application Total Points:		137.17	
Planner:	G. Langer		Date:	06-Apr-09						
Priority:	HIGH PRIORITY				Minimum Number of Points Required: > 32				QUALIFIED	
Part II. Screening Tool										
1.	Will there be at least one enduring structural practice installed that directly affects the use of irrigation water, such as, but not limited to, concrete ditch lining, underground pipe, and land leveling AND are the offered acres located within the alluvium of the Arkansas mainstem OR Huerfano/Purgatoire tributaries OR a Section 303(d) listed tributary segment for Selenium OR Ogallala Aquifer?								YES	
2.	Has the applicant within the past 3 years (a) had a previous cost-share contract that was cancelled or terminated due to lack of progress OR , (b) where the installed practices failed due to lack of maintenance OR , (c) has the applicant previously declined an approved funded application for reasons other than technical, or drought related reasons? (If any of a, b, or c is "yes", answer YES to question)								NO	
If both #1 and #2 are "No", or #1 is "No" and #2 is "Yes" the application is considered LOW priority. Proceed to Question #3.										
3.	Does the applicant (a) have a current conservation plan in place for the requested practices that complies with current NRCS conservation planning policy with completion and documentation of the 7 deliverables in the Colorado Conservation Planning <i>STATEMENT of WORK</i> ; (b) is there sufficient indication that applicant is ready, willing, and able to complete a contract; and, (c) has the conservation plan been reviewed and site visit made to confirm that the proposed practices are still needed and feasible to address the stated resource concerns? (If any of a, b, or c is "no" answer NO to the question)								YES	
If #3 is "Yes" application is HIGH priority; if "no" application is LOW priority.										