

Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP): Upper Arkansas River Watershed-Soil Erosion
Screening and Data Collection Worksheet (C3)

Part I. Producer/Land Unit Information										
Producer/Group Name:				Tract Number(s):						
				Farm Number(s):						
Kind of Entity or Joint Operation:	Limited Liability Limited Partnership			Application's Total Acreage (TA):				912.7		
Location:	Holly, CO Northeast Prowers SCD			Contract Financial Assistance Request:	\$16,000.00					
Planner:	M. "Storm" Casper	Date:	06-Apr-09					Total Points:	117.57	
Priority:	HIGH PRIORITY			Minimum Number of Points Required: > 33				QUALIFIED		
Part II. Screening Tool										
1.	I. In the case of cropland, will there be (a) change made to the existing tillage system resulting in increased residue amounts by reducing number and/or kind of tillage operations OR (b) at least one enduring structural practice installed such as terraces or stripcropping which results in whole field erosion reduction OR (c) will there be land in which at least 65% of the offered acres are converted to permanent vegetation; AND (e) will a no-till or strip-till level of treatment be implemented on the offered acres where any or all acres are previous CRP? II. If rangeland will there be a change in grazing frequency/rotation or deferment?								YES	
2.	Has the applicant within the last 3 years (a) had a previous cost-share contract that was cancelled or terminated due to lack of progress OR, (b) where the installed practices failed due to lack of maintenance, OR (c) has the applicant previously declined an approved funded application for reasons other than technical, or drought related reasons? (If any of a, b, or c is "yes", answer YES to question)								NO	
If either #1 and #2 are "no" application is LOW priority; STOP here; if #1 is "yes" and #2 is "no" application is HIGH Priority. Continue to Question #3.										
3.	Does the applicant (a) have a current conservation plan in place for the requested practices that complies with current NRCS conservation planning policy with completion and documentation of the 7 deliverables in the Colorado Conservation Planning STATEMENT of WORK; (b) is there sufficient indication that applicant is ready, willing, and able to complete a contract; and, (c) has the conservation plan been reviewed and site visit made to confirm that the proposed practices are still needed and feasible to address the stated resource concerns? (If any of a, b, or c is "no" answer NO to the question)								YES	
If #3 is "yes", application is HIGH priority; otherwise the application is LOW priority.										